8800034

TO MIL TO WHOM THESE; PRESENTS SHAIL COME;

# Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station

Withereas, there has been presented to the

### Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLI-CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EX-CLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT ETY THEREFROM, I'O THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. E UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

\*Waived, except that this waiver shall not apply to breeder seed, oundation seed, labeling requirements, and blending limitations.

'Dodge'

In Lestimony Waterrot, Thave hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D. C. this 28th day of February

the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-nine.

illural Marketing Seri

					VAL EXPIRES 2-28-86
	NT OF AGRICULTU MARKETING SERV		Appli	cation is requ	D: OMB NO. 0581-0055 ired in order to determine rotection certificate is to
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VA	RIETY PROTE( ons on reverse)	CTION CERTIFICATE	held	ued (7 U.S.C confidential S.C. 2426).	2421). Information is until certificate is issued
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	,	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	3. V	ARIETY NA	ME
Kansas State Agricultural Ex	pt. Station	KS82H144		Dodge	
4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City,	State, and Zip Code)	5. PHONE (Include area code)			TAL USE ONLY
Waters Hall			PVPC	NUMBER	10074
Kansas State University Manhattan, KS 66506		(913) 532-6147		886	0034
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NA			DATE	
			FILING	Decen	her 15, 1987
Triticum aestivum	Graminea	ı A	≝	TIME 1:30	□A.M. PP.M.
8, KIND NAME		DATE OF DETERMINATION		1	OR FILING
S. KIND NAME	9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION	۵	\$ 1800	<u> </u>
		- 4 4	Ĭ.	DATE	
Wheat		3/11/87	RECEIVED	Noven	JULY 301987 OR CERTIFICATE
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PER partnership, association, etc.)	SON," GIVE FORM	OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation,		\$ 200	ON CENTIFICATE
			FEES	DATE	
University	•	•	1	Dec.	5,1988
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCOL	RPORATION		12.	DATE OF IN	CORPORATION
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPI	RESENTATIVE(S)	E ANY TO SERVE IN THIS APPLIE	CATIO	N AND REC	EIVE ALL PAPERS
Vernon A. Schaffer, Departmen					e de la companya de l
Kansas State University, Thre	_	<del>-</del>			
Manhattan, KS 66506		PHONE (include ar		(Q13)	532-6115
a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. Exhibit C, Objective Description of Va d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of V e. Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of A  15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT S SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety	riety (Request form Variety. pplicant's Ownershi EED OF THIS VARI	from Plant Variety Protection Offi p. ETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAM	ce.) E ONL	Y AS A CLA	
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT T	<del></del>	Yes (If "Yes," answer			
LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATION		BEYOND BREEDER SEI	ED?		
X Yes No		X Foundation		legistered	X Certified
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FI	LE FOR PROTECT	ION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U	).S.7		Yes (If "Yes," give date)
	•			$\mathbf{x}$	No
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OF	FERED FOR SALE	, OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OF	ОТН	ER COUNT	RIES ?
					Yes (If "Yes," give name of countries and dates)
				X	No
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sa plenished upon request in accordance with	imple of basic seed h such regulations	s of this variety will be furnished as may be applicable.	d with	the applica	tion and will be re-
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the odistinct, uniform, and stable as required in Variety Protection Act.	owner(s) of this ser n Section 41, and i	sually reproduced novel plant va s entitled to protection under th	riety, e prov	and believe visions of Se	(s) that the variety is ection 42 of the Plant
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false re			result	in penaltie	s
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT Kurt C. Feltner	Kur	t C. Seltwer		NOV	23, 1987
Assoc. Dir. Research Experimen signature of applicant	t Station			DATE	

.1

Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History of Dodge Wheat

Dodge was selected from the cross KS73H530 (Newton sib)/KS76HN1978-1 (Arkan sib) which was made by the Late Dr. R.W. Livers at Hays, KS the winter of 1976-77. The pedigree method of breeding was used. The  $F_1$  through  $F_2$  generations were all grown at Hays. Seed from the F<sub>1</sub> was bulked and space planted for the 1979 harvest. Single plant selections were made and grown as F3 plant rows in 1980. Plant selections were made from desirable lines in 1980 and  $F_{A}$  plant selections were grown at Oxford, Ks in 1981. The plot was rogued extensively and only the center two rows were harvested for pure seed. A 8 x 30 ft. pure seed increase plot was grown in 1983. An isolated one tenth acre block was produced in 1984. The 1984 increase was rogued heavily, however few off-types were found. In 1985 a 2.5 acre increase of Dodge was planted and harvested. During the roguing process only two off-type plants were found. They were both red chaffed semidwarfs.

Wheat Application No. 8800034 'Dodge'

#### Exhibit A Paragraph 2 and 3 Addition

Dodge breeder seed was first multiplied in intensively rogued seed blocks.

Dodge is uniform. Variants are limited to taller than average plants which occur at a frequency of less than 1 in 15,000. The variates as well as typical plants are predictable and commercially acceptable.

Dodge is stable. When sexually reproduced, the variety remains unchanged in its essential and distinctive characteristics.

#### Exhibit B. Dodge Novelty Statement

Dodge wheat is most similar to Newton and Arkan wheat. Dodge differs from Newton and Arkan in the following characteristics:

- 1. Dodge has a longer coleoptile length than Newton and and shorter coleoptile than Arkan. (App. B, Table 14)
- 2. Dodge has a higher protein content than Newton and Arkan. (App. C, Table 10)
- 3. Dodge matures earlier than Newton. (App. A, Table 9; App. B, Table 10)
- 4. Dodge is susceptible to Hessian fly while Arkan is resistant. (App. A, Table 13)
- 5. Dodge plants are shorter statured than Newton plants. (App. A, Table 11)

Appendix A, Table 9 (extracted from Table 9 of Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station "Report of Progress No. 526", Aug. 1987, submitted as Appendix A to Plant Variety Protection Application No. 8800034)

								`	WELL STATE	
1987		9-sta. Avg.	11.1	0.1	-2- -2.3 -0.3	111;	8:111	7.000		
6) from 1987		GREE- LEY	3.0	6000 0000	0.50	3.08	5.0	0040 0040 0080	4.03	
cout 6		THOMAS	1.3	1.3	က္ ဝ ဝ ဝ ဝ က က က	1001	2.5	0000	2.3	
than S ts.		STAF- FORD	17.00	0 4 4 0 8 4 4 8	01753	1.0	1.3	0.00	0.3	
ading Ice tes	Ţ.	REINO	1440 0.00 0.00	0440 v.v.s.v.	44.00	0.0	9.0	0000	1.0	
formar	COUNTY	HAR- VEY	12.5	2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	24.3	0.3	6.50	1000	4.52 E.C.	;
: later sat per		REPU- BLIC	1.0	0.44.0 6.66.66	0.220	0.5	0.10	0100	0.10	•
ier or und whe		FILIS	0.5	1,201	0000 0000	2.5	1.5	0001	0.8	•
s earl		brown Riley Ellis	1.8	1.4.1. 1.5.3 1.0	0 0 0 0 0	2.0	0.5	20014		
es (da) Kansas		BROWN	2.7	-1.3 -3.3 -5.7	10.0	1.3	1.7	10070	3.0	
Table 9. Maturity notes (days earlier or later in heading than Scout 66) Kansas dryland wheat performance tests.	tho inest	variety or Hybrid	Victory Thurderbird Stallion Trailblazer	Hawk Mustang Mesa Abilene	Arkan Arkan BH122 BH205	BH301 Brule Carson Centura	Century Chisholm Cody Colt	Dodge Larned Newton Norkan	2157 XH552 Exp XH140A Exp Redland	
Table		Brand	AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	Amer. Hyb. Bounty Bounty	Bounty			Pioneer Quantum Quantum	

Table 9. Maturity notes (days earlier or later in heading than Scout 66) from 1987 Kansas dryland wheat performance tests (continued).

			1																			٠.	. 1	
		9-sta.	1. P	-1.6	1	-1.3	i A	17	0		-0.1	13.2	1	1.2	1 1		1	1	-2.6	1	1			** **
	3 (1) (4) (4)	GREE- LEY		2.0	1.5	4.0	c R		0 0	. 15 - 1	4.3	0.0	) }	ນ ຕຸ	4.0				1.5	6.0	1.	2.5	T.	7 400 10 40000
·		THO-		0.8	8.	1.5	ر د		0.0		2.5	10.	ì	3.0	0.8	1 :			0.0	-2.0		6	8.0	
		STAF	U+ + + + +	8.0		-1.3	· -	?	0.0		-0.3	e e	<b>}</b>	2.3	-1.0				-1.8		e.0	0	0.0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
3	X	RENO	Ç.	-3.5		- - - - - - - - - -		-2.5	0.0	ر لا	1.5	4.8	}	က (၁)	0.	1 2 %			2.5	1	0.0	1.8	1.2	
	COUNTY	HAR- VEY		-3.5		-3.3		-2.3	0:0		-2.5	-5.5		-1.5		į			-4.5			13 13	α Ο	10000
ייים וויים	57	REPU- BLIC		-1.8	°	8	~	3:   :	0.0	i.	0	7.0	). 1 )	0	1.5				-2.8			8	0.7	
מר אמ		ELLIS		0.3	e	0.3	۳	}	0.0	<u>.</u>	8.0	0 P	) 	2	-0.3				-1.5	-2.0	0	4.0	0.7	
THE WILL		RILEY		-4.8	ا د د	4 0		-4.0	0	· 	3.3	ب س بو		∞ Ο		2.3	۵. ایا	ω c	9-0			-2.6	1.0	
o un'y re	* * *	BROWN	€3 1	-3°		-3.7	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	-1.3	0.0		1.0	۰ و م	) )	-1.0		-1.0			-3.7			-1.1	2.6	
	Value of the second	or Hybrid		7837	7833	7846	7805	8604 Exp			Sioux	TAM 105 TAM 107		TAM 108		Becker (S)	Caldwell (S)	Compton (S)	KS831374 Exp	KS84HW196 Exp	TAM 200	averages, days	.05) **	
		Brand	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	RHS	Z Z	NE SE	ŘĦS	Hybrex		Sood Dog	5.51											Test ave	L.S.D. (	

Unless two varieties differ by more than the L.S.D. (least significant difference) little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Appendix A, Table 10 (extracted from Table 10 of Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station "Report of Progress No. 526",

Aug. 1987 submitted as Appendix A to Plant Variety Protection Application No. 8800034)

Table 10. Maturity notes (days earlier or later in heading than Arkan) from 1987 Kansas irrigated wheat performance tests.

	Variety		COUNTY	?	<del></del>
Brand	or Hybrid	FORD	- THO- MAS	FIN- NEY	3-Sta. Avg.
AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	Victory Thunderbird Stallion Hawk	0.5 -0.3 -1.0 0.5	0.8 2.5 	1.8 0.8 1.8 3.5	1.0 1.0 
AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro Amer.Hyb	Mustang Mesa Abilene .AH 135	0.8 -1.8 2.5	-1.8 3.8 7.0	2.8 0.0 3.5 5.8	-1.2 3.3
Bounty Bounty Bounty	Arkan BH122 BH205 BH301	0.0 -0.5 1.0 3.0	0.0 1.5 1.5 3.0	0.0 2.0 0.8 3.8	0.0 1.0 1.1 3.3
	Century Chisholm Cody Colt	1.0 -1.8 	3.5 5.0 4.5	2.8 0.3 	2.4
Pioneer	Dodge Newton Norkan 2157	-0.3 0.8 0.5 3.0	0.8 1.8 1.8	-0.3 1.8 2.3	0.1 1.5 1.5
Quantum Quantum Quantum RHS	XH436 Exp XH699 Exp XH140A Exp 7837	<u></u>	1.8 2.0 4.3 3.0	$0.5 \\ 1.3 \\$ $2.3$	  1.8
RHS RHS RHS	7833 7846 7805 Siouxland	-0.3 1.0	2.0 2.0 2.5 3.8	0.3 1.8 3.0 3.8	1.2  2.9
	TAM 105 TAM 107 TAM 108 Vona	-0.5 -1.8 4.0 0.5	-1.0 5.8 1.5	0.0 -0.5 4.8 2.3	-1.1 4.9 1.4
	Caldwell (S) Pike (S) KS831374 Exp KS84HW196 Exp	-0.3 2.0 -1.3	0.3 -0.8	0.5 -0.3	-0.2
Test avera	TAM 200 ages, days 05) **	0.8 0.5 1.4	2.3	2.0 1.8 1.4	·· ·

<sup>\*\*</sup> Unless two varieties differ by more than the L.S.D. (least significant difference), little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Appendix A, Table 11 (extracted from Table 11 of Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station "Report of Progress No. 526", Aug. 1987, submitted as Appendix A to Plant Variety Protection Application No. 8800034)

		ġ.	W 10	<b>~</b> 8 <del>d</del>	N ## ##	<b>m</b>		m		
		12-sta. Avg.	34.8	36.7	35.7	39.3	36.1	34.3 36.7 36.3		
		FINNEY IRR.	37	41 38 33 37	41 42 40	4	39	38	37	
Tests.		GREELEY DRY.	33	32 30 30 30	32	34 34	38 30	37 32 32 32	34	
mance		THOMAS (Y. IRR.	44	36	4 4 4 4 4 1 2 4	5	44 47 38	39 41	37	
Perfo		DRY.	35	32 32	38 37 35	4444 6664	8   44	37 37 37	8%	
Wheat		FORD HRR.	33	36 30 34	38	4	32 33	38 33	35	
ansas	≯	STAFFORD DRY. IRR.	38 32 32	36 31 31 31	82338 82338	39 39	3 33	34 4 32 34 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	33	
from 1987 Kansas Wheat Performance Tests	COUNTY	RENO	32 32 32 32	889 889 889	322	8     4	31	32 34 35 35	32	ned)
from		HAR- VEY	29 27 29	26 26 26 26 26	3283	8	27	23 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	30	(continued)
(inches)		REPU- BLIC	39	35 35 37	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	40 43	04   45	34 44 11 14 14	8	ت
		ELLIS	35	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	38232	37	37	35 36 35 35	35	
Plant heights			37	32 33	41 38 37 40	42   42	35	37 44 40 38	32	J.
		BROWN RILEY	38	38 33 32	3333	39   38	33   34	35 37 38	¥	
Table 11.	Varietv	or Hybrid	Victory Thunderbird Stallion Trailblazer	Hawk Mustang Mesa Abilene	Arkan Bri22 Bri205	BH301 Brule Carson Centura	Century Chisholm Cody Colt	Dodge Larned Newton Norkan	2157 XH552 EXP XH436 EXP XH699 EXP XH140A EXP	
		Brand	AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	Amer. Hyb. Bounty Bounty	Bounty			Pioneer Quantum Quantum Quantum	

Table 11. Plant heights (inches) from 1987 Kansas Wheat Performance Tests (continued)

		•	1						
		12-Sta. Avg.	34.6	35.2	41.2	34.7		34.3	
. (		FINNEY IRR.	38 3	40	4	6,888	32	37 37 35	38 2.0
-		GREELEY DRY.	37 32 31	33	39 40 40	32 32	33	31 30	33
		ا نہ ا	404	42	46	144	40	38	41
		THOMAS DRY. IR	40 35 36	37	4 42	36	37	35	37
		FORD IRR.	34	83	40	38 34 36	38	34	35
		STAFFORD DRY. IRR.	-   8	36	4 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 0 4 4 0	35	31	33	35 1.8
	COUNTY	RENO	31	32 32	42 30 41	31 34 38		313	33.
		HAR- VEY	28	3   88	36	27		78	29
		REPU- BLIC	41	4 4 4 6 9 9	43	444	8	39	40
		ELLIS	34	35	44   47	34 33	33	33 34	35
		RILEY	36	38   38	44   44	38	35 39 37	36	38
		BROWN RILEY	38	34	39	344	323	18	36 1.8
	Variety	or Hybrid	Redland 7837 7833	830 7846 7805 8604 Exp	Sandy Scout 66 5630 Siouxland	TAM 105 TAM 107 TAM 108 Triumph 64	Yona Becker (S) Caldwell (S) Compton (S)	Pike (S) KS831374 Exp KS84HW196 Exp TAM 200	Test averages, inches L.S.D. (.05) **
		Brand	RHS	RHS RHS RHS Hybrex	Seed Res.				Test aver L.S.D. (.
						25/-			

\*\* Unless two varieties differ by more than the L.S.D. (least significant difference), little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Appendix A, Table 13 (extracted from Table 13 of Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station "Report of Progress No. 526", Aug. 1987, submitted as Appendix A to Plant Variety Protection Application No. 8800034)

Table 13. Coleoptile length, Hessian fly ratings, and disease notes from 1987 Kansas Wheat Performance Tests.

	Variety	Coleop- tile	Hes-	Soil- borne	Leaf	rust n	otes Tho-	Tan spot	Le: gree	af nness
Brand	or Hybrid	(sprout) length	fly score	mosaic rating	Riley	Ellis	mas Irr.	Riley	Reno	Riley
. <del> </del>		1/	2/	3/	4/	5/	6/	7/	8/	9/
AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	Victory Thunderbird Stallion Trailblazer	89	\$ \$ \$	0 0	tr 4  38	5 	14 3 	5 6 	3 4 4 4	3.3 3.0 4.0
AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	Hawk Mustang Mesa Abilene	79 76 77 82	s s s	0 0 0 0	53 49 14 11	75 75 5 33	24 	8 9 9 7	4 4 5 4	4.8 4.8 4.3 3.3
Amer. Hyb. Bounty Bounty	AH 135 Arkan BH122 BH205	94 119 80 85	S R S S	0 7 4	18 30 34 8	1 13 75 5	3 4 15 16	5 7 8 4	3 4 4 2	3.0 3.8 4.0 3.0
Bounty	BH301 Brule Carson Centura Century	84 75 113 99 85	S R S H H	4 4  3 5	2  13 15	40	8	8  8 5	2  4 3	3.5  4.0 3.8
	Chisholm Cody Colt Dodge	81 98 85 93	S H S	 2 0	28 30	8 63 63	8 21 14	8 9	5	4.3
Pioneer	Larned Newton Norkan 2157	111 87 84 86	R S R R	7 0 0 0	33 39 43 18	75 75 75 3	24 13	8 8 9 8	4 4 5 4	5.0 4.5 5.0 3.5
Quantum Quantum Quantum Quantum	XH552 Exp XH436 Exp XH699 Exp XH140A Exp	92 75 77 80	S S S			5	23 16 14		3	
RHS RHS RHS	Redland 7837 7833 830	75 79 74 91	H S S	2 1 	tr 15	1 50	4 20	7 -4	3 2	3.8
RHS RHS Hybrex	7846 7805 8604 Exp Sandy Scout 66	78 93 83 90 112	H S S S	0 1 5	9 10 39	1 10 —	14 	7 8 8	3 4	3.8 3.8 4.8
Seed Res.	5630 Siouxland	91 100	s s	3	6	3	tr	6	4 2	2.5

(continued)

Table 13. Coleoptile length, Hessian fly ratings, and disease notes from 1987 Kansas Wheat Performance Tests (continued).

		Colom	Llog	Coil	Leaf	rust n	otes	(The sec	Τ	- E
	Variety or	Coleop- tile (sprout)	sian	borne		,	Tho- mas	Tan spot	Le gree	nness
Brand	Hybrid	length	score	rating	Riley		Irr.	Riley	Reno	Riley
		1/	2/	3/	4/	5/	6/	7/	8/	9/
-	TAM 105 TAM 107 TAM 108 Triumph 64	87 100 79 97	S S S	2 6 0	59 40 26	75 75 50	31 25	9 9 7	5 4 4	5.0 5.0 4.5
	Vona Becker (S) Caldwell (S) Compton (S)	71 78 80 82	S R R R	0 1	18 13 9	53	<u>26</u>	8 3 2		3.3 2.8 2.5
	Pike (S) KS831374 Exp KS84HW196 Ex TAM 200		R S S	 	24 16 	5 63 3	16 24	5	3 3	3.5 2.8 
Test avera				2 2.3	22 11.0	35 16.6	14 5.2	7	3 0.7	3.8

1/ Coleoptile lengths given as percent of the old standard variety, Eagle.
Data provided by T. Joe Martin, Ft. Hays Exp. Station.
2/ Hessian fly ratings from greenhouse tests by J. H. Hatchett, Manhattan,

2/ Hessian fly ratings from greenhouse tests by J. H. Hatchett, Manhattan, using the Great Plains biotype. S = susceptible; H = heterogeneous (both resistant and susceptible plants in sample); R = resistant.

3/ Soilborne mosaic virus readings from Brown County; rated from 1 to 9, where 0 = no symptoms.

4/ Leaf rust readings 5/25 by William Willis; percent of flag

leaves covered by pustules.

5/ Leaf rust readings 6/3 by T. Joe Martin; percent of flag

5/ Leaf rust readings 6/3 by T. Joe Martin; percent of flate leaves covered by pustules.

6/ Leaf rust readings 6/10 by William Willis; percent of flag leaves covered by pustules. Infection probably not severe enough for good separation of levels of resistance.

7/ Tan spot readings by Rollie Sears; rated from 1 to 9, where 1 = best.

8/ Greenness readings May 26 by William Willis; 1 = flag leaf green, 5 = flag leaf dead and rolled. Premature leaf death caused by leaf rust, tan spot, and drouth.

9/ Green leaf readings by Rollie Sears; 1 = best.

\*\* Unless two varieties differ by more than the L.S.D. (least significant difference), little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Appendix B, Table 14 (extracted from Table 14 of Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station "Report of Progress No. 505", Aug. 1986, submitted as Appendix B to Plant Variety Protection Application No. 8800034)

<u> </u>		1		No.	880	0034)						-/6S	788	00
Hessian fly	ratings 8/	w w	ស ស ស 	លលល	æ	លលលល	¤ ¤ w	o m m	S E	K (V III	, <b>ж</b> ю н	N. 8. 10	- ~	7)
Shat-	tering 8 7/	нн	ਜੰਜਜ	40 I	71	0440	<b>40</b> 4	- <del>0</del> # <b>0</b>	00	H00	H O H	THE STATE OF THE S	4	100
, 6, it	Repu- blic	8 m m m	900	101	0	0000	000	010	00	6.5	000	8.11	( / ) 80   1	1
Stem rust ratings 6/	Har- vey	ω C	m 0 0	101	0	0000		1 ~ 1		0 1 8	010	· I I I	. j. j. j.	. 1
	Riley	7	92.	0.41	74	<b>4</b> Wケ <b>4</b>	1 1 W	mım	. <b>4</b> .10	ผพพ	യവവ	111	பை	ص <sub>.</sub>
	Reno	100 S 90 S	10 MR 100 S tr MS	20 S 100 S	10 S	90 S 30 S 10 MS 70 S	20 MR-MS	70 S 100 S	100 S 100 S	20 S 20 S 20 S	80 S 100 S tr MR	111		1 5
atings 5/	Republic	38 38	6 55 15	1 55 1	30	51 20 48	8 8 4	3.9	65	33.38	ន្ទន	811	1 8 1	1
Leaf rust ratings	Harvey	0 <b>4</b>	14 91 4	181	25	38 38 36	111	1.45.1	8 6 9 6 9 6	22 31 31	36 74 11	111	111	1 8
, I	Riley	100 S 90 S	10 MR 100 S tr MR	80 S 100 S	10 S	80 S 30 S 10 MS 60 S	30 MS	70 S 60 S	100 S 100 S	22 S 8 8 8	80 S 100 S tr MR	111	20 S	30 MS
Soilborne mosaic ratinos	4/	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.5	3,22,58	3.3	1 4 4	1.0	1.0	1.5	113		!
cer lval %	Labette 3/	65 66	73 58 89	98	63	25 65 70 74		141	5 8 68	64 584 584	73 53 39		ឌា।	40
Winter survival	Brown 2/	19 24	2.5 2.4 2.4	9 1	16	18 8 EL	23 34	30.	25	29 18 35	33 14 14	14.	<b>o</b>	1
Coleoptile (sprout)	length 1/	នន	86 102	86 72 81	111	77 88 89 85	7.77 77 95	99 78 48	76 07	9 9 9 55 9 9 4	114 88 83	8,88	887	8.3
Winter Variety Coleoptile survival & or (smout)	Hybrid	101 102	Victory Mustang Thurderbird	Stallion Wrangler Ram	Arkan	BH202 (G) BH205 (H) BH301 (H) BH122 (H)	Brule Redland Centura	Centurk 78 Chisholm Colt	HR-48 HR-64	Norkan Dodge KS831957 Exp	Larned Newton Century	XH509 Exp (H) XH499 Exp (H) XH140a Exp (H)	XH478 EXP (H) XH500 EXP (H) XH431 EXP (H)	XH477 Exp (H)
	Brand	AGC AGC	AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	AgriPro AgriPro AgriPro	-	Bounty Bounty Bounty Bounty		111	Garst Garst	111		Quantum Quantum Quantum	Quantum Quantum Quantum	Quantum

and Hessian fly ratings from 1986 Kansas Wheat Performance Tests Table 14. Relative colecotile lengths, winter survival, rusts, shattering notes,

			Winter	er	Soilborne			•		ល្អ	Stem rust ratings 6/				
	Variety	Coleoptile	survival	val &	mosaíc	2	af rust i	Leaf rust ratings 5/			Har-	Repu-	Shat- tering	Messian fly ratings	
Brand	Hybrid	length 1/	Brown 2/ Labette	Labette 3/	4/	Riley	Harvey	Harvey Republic	Reno	Riley	vey	blic		. 98	
			<u> </u> 												
RES	830	姡	30	.55	4.0	S 82	29	34	15.8	'n	0	0	0	ß	
RHS	Pony	8	14	당	4.8	10 S	92	29	10 S	m	0	0	0	တ	
RHS	7833	72	29	64	1.0	86 SV	39	59	100 S	₹.	0	0	-	ß	
RHS	7837	82	ET.	59	1.5	5 ₹	0	9	υ Έ	m	0	<b>.</b>	-	va	
	Sandy	46	ł	ł	ļ	Ť,	ı	i	ł	ı	ı	ı		S	
1	Scout 66	111	29	58	-	80 S	34	\$	Z 02	ιŲ	7	0	H	ß	
!	Siouxland	85	30	99	1		ł	ස		φ	ı	0.5	н	S.	
Smor	E	6		. ,	-	. 1	1	45	ł	. 1	ı	0.3		Ω.	
Super	· m	101	ŀ	1.		l	ł	38	<b>!</b>	•	ι.	0	1	တ	
1	TAM 105	8	4	7.7	9,3	300 S	31	45		6	ις	8.4	0	κs	
l	TAM 107	101	53	92	4.3	100 S	44	45	100 S	9	0	1.0	rd '	Ø	
ı	TAM 108	73	ដ	o o	1.0	83 83	28	39		₹	0	0	0	ശ	
1	Triumch 64	100	1	7.1	i	ļ	l	į	20 S	1	ı		•	w	
-	Vona	70	i	45	4.5	l	40	፠	1	ı	نو	J. 8	0	ш	
1	Caldwell (S)	75	00	54	1.5	30 MS	. 1	. 1	1	ĸ		, t	•	1	
1	Compton (S)	79	ı	09	1.8	10 AR	ŧ	1	1	O)	1	l	i		
ļ	Pike (S)	88	ł	45	1.3	70 S	:	1	1	ဖှ	1	ı	ı	1	
	Becker (S)	74	œ	78	1	70 S	1	ł	1	cv.	1	ŧ	ŧ	1	
RES	McNair 1003 (S)	ឥ	ß	28	i	80 S	t	Ļ	ł	ĠŊ.	ı	1	ı	i	
RHS	Coker 833 (S)	71	0	ł	1		1	1	1	<b>ό</b> η (	ı	ı	ł	ı	
RHS	9227 (S)	72	0	1	ł		1	1	1	ω (	1	ı.	ŧ		
RHS	9323 (S)	77	ະດ	17	-		1	,	1	6	-				

1/ Coleoptile lengths given as percent of the old standard variety, Eagle, Eagle had an average length of 100.1 millimeters, so above figures can be interpreted as percent of Eagle or actual coleoptile length in millimeters (25.4 mm = 1 inch). Data provided by Dr. T. J. Martin; fungicide-treated seed planted into vermiculite and germinated in the dark for 10 days at 65 F. Each entry replicated three times; L.S.D. = 5.9 mm.
2/ Fall stands satisfactory. Stands recorded as percent of fall stands that survived the winter. Warm January temperatures followed by severe late winter cold

3/ Planting delayed by wet soil until Nov. 28. Winter mild, with less than normal snow; some freezing and thawing injury. Emergence irregular; some plants emerged during late winter and were killed by subsequent cold temperatures before stand notes were taken March 15.

4/ Soilborne mosaic readings from the Stafford Co.Irrigated test; 1 = no symptoms, 5 = severe symptoms.

5/ Leaf rust readings based on proportion of leaves covered by rust pustules (tr = trace), and a general rating of MR = moderately resistant, MS = moderately

6/ Stem rust rated visually on a 1 to 9 scale, where 1 = good resistance and 9 = very heavy infection. Harvey County readings by Wm. Willis, Extension Plant Pathologist, K.S.U., at milk to soft dough stage. Riley County readings by Dr. Willis susceptible, or S = susceptible.

and Arkan. = susceptible, H = heterogeneous (both Shattering notes from Stafford County Dryland test just before harvest. Light shattering also noted in Stafford Co. Irrigated plots of Thunderbird 'Hessian fly ratings from greenhouse tests by J. H. Hatchett, USPA Entomologist, using the Great Plains biotype. S = susceptible, H = heterogeneous resistant and susceptible plants in sample), and R = resistant. Appendix C, Table 10 (extracted from Table 10 of "Kansas Winter Wheat Intra-State Nursery, 1987. Forty-seventh Annual Report" Aug 7, 1987, submitted as Appendix C to Plant Variety Protection Application No. 8800034)

Table 10. Protein contents (%) for entries in the 1987 KIN.

•	OX	K	CW	MA	PR	BE	PO	X
Newton	12.3	13.8	13.6	13.9	13.1 .	14.2	11.7	13.2
Arkan	12.3	15.0	14.6	14.6	13.5	14.3	12.6	13.8
Victory	13.0	14.2	14.8	15.0	13.4	14.0	12.1	13.7
TAM 107	12.6	13.4	13.4	13.6	12.1	12.9	11.3	12.7
KS831374	13.3	13.5	15.1	14.6	13.6	14.9	13.9	14.1
KS8010-1-4	13.4	13.7	15.0	15.1	13.8	15.2	12.9	14.1
KS8010-1-12	13.8	12.9	13.6	13.4	13.2	13.6	12.3	13.2
KS8010-1-20	13.6	15.9	15.2	15.7	14.6	15.9	13.1	13.9
KS8010*-34	12.9	14.8	14.4	15.0	14.2	14.6	13.3	14.1
KS8010*-71	13.3	15.3	15.4	15.7	14.6	15.3	12.5	14.5
KS8010*-73	12.4	13.7	13.3	13.5	13.0	12.9	11.7	13.6
KS8010*-38	13.6	15.1	15.2	15.2	14.0	15.2	13.0	14.4
KS8010*-72	12.2	13.7	14.0	14.1	13.3	14.0	11.8	13.3
KS8010-1-3-2	13.4	14.6	14.4	15.3	14.5	14.9	12.6	14.2
KS8010-1-4-2	13.2	13.5	14.6	14.4	13.1	14.7	12.2	13.6
KS81580*-10	13.3	13.4	13.8	14.0	12.6	13.7	10.9	13.1
TB107	12.5	12.5	13.8	14.2	12.3	13.9	11.3	12.9
KS84HW196	12.5	15.0	14.2	15.5	13.3	14.2	12.4	13.8
KS85H22	13.3	14.3	13.8	14.5	13.1	13.5	12.1	13.5
KS85H136	13.0	14.5	12.5	14.3	13.3	13.0	11.3	13.1
KS85H247	12.1	15.4	14.1	14.8	13.8	13.6	12.3	13.7
KS85H274	12.9	14.6	14.5	14.1	13.7	14.0	12.6	13.7
Century	12.9	13.2	13.6	13.9	13.0	13.4	12.1	13.1
TAM 200	12.7	13.6	13.2	13.6	13.4	13.4	12.4	13.1
Dodge	13.3	15.9	13.3	15.5	14.7	14.9	12.7	14.3
Norkan	13.1	14.4	14.2	15.0	13.3	14.3	12.3	13.8
OK83396	13.7	14.8	14.6	15.2	14.0	14.1	12.2	14.0
Siouxland	13.1	13.4	13.9	13.9	13.8	13.8	11.9	13.4

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULT AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SER LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN AND SEED BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 207

# OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF V. WHEAT (TRITICUM SPP.)

Exhibit C

Dodge Wheat PVP Application

INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PYPO NUMBER Dr. T. J. Martin 8800034 ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code) NAME OR TEMPORARY Fort Hays Experiment Station Hays, KS 67601 Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this variety in the boxes below. Place a zero in first box (e-8- 0 8 9 or 0 9 ) when number is either 99 or less or 9 or less. I. KIND: 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT 5 = POLISH 6 = POULARD 2. TYPE 3 = OTHER (Specify) 1 = SOFT 2 2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify) 2 = HARD 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify) 3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO: 3 2 0 3 FIRST FLOWERING LAST FLOWERING MATURITY (50% Flowering): 0 3 2 NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN ..... 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN ........ 5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head); 4 0 8 CM. HIGH CM. TALLER THAN ........ 3 = CHRIS 2 = SCOUT 1 = ARTHUR 2 CM. SHORTER THAN ....... 6 = LEEDS 5 = NUGAINES 4 = LEMHI 6. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse): 7. ANTHER COLOR: 1 = YELLOW GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN 2 = GREEN I = YELLOW 2 = PURPLE 8. STEM: 1 Anthocyanin: | = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT Waxy bloom: I = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT Hairiness of last 1 2 = PRESENT Internodes: 1 = HOLLOW internode of rachis: | = ABSENT 2 = SOLID CM. INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground) AND LEAF BELOW 9. AURICLES: Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT Hairiness: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 2 = PRESENT 10. LEAF: Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED Flag leaf: 1 = NOT TWISTED 1 2 = TWISTED booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):\_\_\_ Waxy bloom of flag leaf sheath: | 1 = ABSENT | 2 = PRESENT Hairs of first leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 1 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First leaf below flag leaf) CM. LEAF LENGTH (First lest below flag lost):

				8800034
2.	Density: 1 = LAX	2 = DENSE "MID - DENSE"	Shape: 1 = TAPER! 4 = OTHER!	
	4 Awnedness: 1 = AW	NLESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED 3	= AWNLETED 4 = AWNED	) )
	Color at maturity: 5	= WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHE		
-	0 7 CM. LENGTH		1 0 MM. WIDTH	
	12. GLUMES AT MATURI  2 Length: I = SHORT  3 = LONG(	(CA. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.)	2 Width: 1 = NARROW 3 = WIDE (C.	(CA, 3 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA, 3.5 mm.) A. 4 mm.)
	Shoulder 1 = WANT shape: 4 = SQUAF	the state of the s	3 Beak: 1 = OOTUSE	2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE
	13. COLEOPTILE COLOR		14. SEEDLING ANTHOCY	ANIN:
	1 = WHITE 2 = R	ED 3 = PURPLE	1 1 = ABSENT 2	= PRESENT
•	15. JUVENILE PLANT GR	OWTH HABIT:	<u> </u>	
	1 = PROSTRATE	2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = EREC	τ	
	16. SEED:			
	1 Shape: 1 = OVATE	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	2 Cheek: 1 = ROUNDE	ED 2 = ANGULAR
	2 Brush: 1 = SHORT	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG	] Brush: I = NOT CO	DLLARED 2 = COLLARED
	Phenol reaction (See instructions):	1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROWN 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK		
	3 Color: 1 = WHITE	2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE	5 = OTHER (Specify)	
[	O 6 MM. LENGTH	0 3 MM. WIDTH	3 5 GM. PER 1000	SEEDS
-	17. SEED CREASE:			
	2 Width: 1 = 60% OR L	ESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'		LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
1_		ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'		LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'
_		AS WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'	3 = 50 % OR	LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI'
_	<u> </u>	ted, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		
Ĺ	2 STEM RUST (Races)	LEAF RUST (Races)	O STRIPE RUST	1 LOOSE SMUT
	1 POWDERY MILDEW	O BUNT	2 OTHER (Specify) S	oil borne mosaic virus
_	19. INSECT: (0 = Not Test	ed, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	OSAWFLY	O APHID (Bydv.)	1 GREEN BUG	O CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
	OTHER (Specity)	HESSIAN FLY	1 GP 1 A	1 B 1 c
		RACES:	1 c 1 E	1 F 1 G
-	O. INDICATE WHICH VAR	ETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S	UBMITTED.	
	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
	Plant tillering	Newton	Seed size	Arkan
_	Leaf size	Newton	Seed shape	Newton

#### INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

(a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.

Coleoptile elongation

Seedling pigmentation

Eagle

Newton

- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)
- LEAF COLOR: Nickerson's or any recognized color fan should be used to determine the leaf color of the described variety.

Arkan

Newton

Leaf color

Leaf carriage

#### Botanical Classification: DODGE

- I. Plant Characters:
  - 1. Maturity: medium-early
  - 2. Height: midtall
  - 3. Growth habit: winter
- II. Stem Characters:
  - 1. Color: white
  - 2. Strength: midstrong
  - 3. Hollowness: hollow
- III. Leaf Characters:
  - 1. Leaf hairs: few, not distinct
- IV. Spike characters:
  - 1. Awnedness: White awns 2 6.5 cm long
  - 2. Shape: Oblong to fusiform
  - 3. Density: middense
  - 4. Position: erect
- V. Glume Characters:
  - 1. Color: white
  - 2. Length: midlong
  - 3. Width: narrow to midwide
- VI. Shoulder Characters:
  - 1. Width: narrow
  - 2. Shape: oblique at basal glumes approaching square at midspike to the top

#### VII: Beak Characters:

1. Width: midwide

2. Shape: acuminate

3. Length: 3-6mm

#### VIII. Kernel Characters:

1. Color: red

2. Length: midlong

3. Texture: hard

4. Shape: ovate to elliptical

#### IX. Germ Characters:

1. Size: small to mid small

#### X. Crease characters:

1. Width: midwide

2. Depth: middeep

#### XI. Cheek Characters:

1. Shape: angular

#### XII. Brush Characters:

1. Size: midsized

2. Length: midlong

3. Collar: no collar

Exhibit D. Additional Description at Dodge Wheat

Dodge is an increase of an  $F_4$  plant row selected from the cross KS73H530 (Newton sib) /KS76HN1978-1 (Arkan sib). The cross was made by the late Dr. R. W. Livers at Hays, Kansas the winter of 1976-77. The  $F_4$  plant row was grown and selected at Oxford, Kansas in 1981. Dodge was tested in the Kansas preliminary yield trials in 1982 and in Kansas advanced yield nurseries from 1983 to 1986. Dodge was evaluated in the Southern Regional Performance Nursery in 1985 and 1986. It has performed best in the southern two-thirds of Western Kansas and produces excellent grain test weights.

Dodge is an awned, white-glumed semidwarf hard red winter wheat cultivar. It is about 4 cm shorter than Newton, but has a coleoptile length about 10% longer than Newton. Dodge is medium early in maturity and heads about 1 to 2 days earlier than Newton and 1 day later than Arkan. Its winterhardiness is equal to Newton.

The physical appearance of Dodge is midway between Arkan and Newton. Heads are not as erect as Arkan but not as lax as Newton. Dodge can be distinguished from Arkan by the lack of distinct pubescence on the upper leaf surface. It can be distinguished from Newton by its more erect and blocky head.

Dodge has been resistant to leaf rust and stem rust, but does not carry Lr24 or Sr24. It is resistant to soilborne mosaic virus but susceptible to wheat spindle streak mosaic virus and Hessian fly.

Three year summaries for yield, test weight, and grain protein contest are presented in table 1.

Coleoptile Length: Data presented was collected using a standard coleoptile test procedure developed by Dr. R. W. Livers. Values are expressed as % of Eagle and all seed came from the same nursery grown at Hays, Ks in 1985 or 1986.

	1985	1986	AVG
Dodge	95	94	95
Newton	84	85	85
Eagle	100	1.00	100
Arkan	111	112	112
LSD .05	5.3	4.9	

Winterhardiness: Average survival of Dodge in the 1983 to 1985 USDA, ARS Uniform Winterhardiness Nurseries was 71% as compared to 69, 67, and 58% for Warrior, Scout, and Vona, respectively. Data collected on winter survival in Kansas tests indicates Dodge is probably closer to Vona or equal to Newton in winterhardiness and somewhat less hardy than Scout.

Hard wheat milling and bread making qualities of Dodge are excellent. Mixing time of Dodge, as measured by the mixograph, is about .5 min shorter than Eagle but is rated equal to Eagle in overall baking quality, as found in the report of the Crop Quality Council's 1985 Large Scale Mill and Bake test. (Appendix D)

Table 1. Yield, test weight, and protein content of Dodge compared to four check cultivars grown in Kansas from 1983 to 1985.

Cultivar	Bu WesternKS*	1/A Eastern	KS**	Test*** Weight	Protein*** (%)
Dodge	63	57		58.8	13.6
Newton	60	50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	57.0	11.9
Arkan	64	59		58.0	12.8
TAM 107	64	57	• •	56.5	11.7
Larned	62	53		58.2	12.3

Western KS locations include Colby, Tribune, Garden City, Hays, and St. John.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Eastern KS locations include Belleville, Manhattan, Powhattan, Parsons, Oxford, Caldwell, Hesston and Hutchinson. \*\*\* Includes data from all locations and protein adjusted to 12%

moisture.

#### Disease Resistance:

Leaf Rust - The presence of Lrl was verified by the USDA, ARS Leaf Rust Lab at KSU. However the field reaction of Dodge is much better than lines that are known to have only Lrl, such as Newton.

Steam Rust- Dodge has displayed an excellent level of stem rust resistance in Kansas field tests. Based on seedling tests conducted by the USDA, ARS Cereal Rust Lab at Minnesota. Dodge may carry Sr24, but this is doubtful since Sr24 has always been linked to Lr24 and Lr24 is definitely not present.

Wheat Soilborne Mosaic Virus - Dodge is rated resistant based on at least 5 years of testing in soilborne mosaic infested locations on Southcentral Kansas. It has also been tested in the Uniform Wheat Soilborne Mosaic Virus Nurseries.

8800034

"Better Wheat Makes It Better For A

Dodge Wheat PVP Application



# WHEAT QUALITY COUNCIL

Professional Bldg. — 404 Humboldt, Suite G MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66502 Phone 913/776-6348

Thomas C. Roberts, Executive Vice-President

A COORDINATED EFFORT OF THE AGRI-BUSINESS AND BAKING INDUSTRY FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF HARD WINTER WHEAT

#### WHEAT QUALITY COUNCIL

This is the 36th year for the Large Scale Milling and Baking Evaluation Program. It was initiated by what is now known as the Kansas State University Department of Grain Science and Industry. It has served the very useful purpose of communication between the persons responsible for breeding grain, those that mill the wheat and those that bake the flour into bread.

Wheat quality may have different meanings to us depending on how we utilize the wheat cultivars or the products of their production. The combined efforts of those interested in wheat quality improvement over the years, however, has been of benefit to all segments of the industry.

The Wheat Quality Council has played an important role in improving wheat quality in the hard red winter wheat region. The council's program has encouraged the development of wheat cultivars that perform well because of their desirable quality characteristics.

Flours from 8 large and 9 small scale samples were sent to approximately 35 cooperators for baking. Those cooperators include; mill control chemists, bakery chemists, state and federal wheat quality testing chemists, commercial laboratories and commercial breeding chemists.

Their results are collected and prepared in a preliminary report, which is used at an annual meeting for evaluation of quality. This meeting includes representatives of the many disciplines working on wheat. A 36th final report is to be distributed, giving the findings of the Wheat Quality Council Program.

Membership subscriptions are accepted by and made available from the Council's office location at the Professional Building, Suite G, 404 Humboldt, Manhattan, Kansas 66502.

The Wheat Quality Council is a non-profit organization whose purpose is to guide a coordinated effort of the Agri-Business and Baking Industry in charitable, educational and research efforts of wheat improvement, conservation and protection. Funds for this program of public relations throughout the west central high plains, hard winter wheat producing area come entirely from fees and dues paid by members and cooperators. The affairs of the Council are under the supervision of the Executive Vice-President and the Board of Trustees. The Board consists of representatives from various interest groups who support the Council.

Wheat Quality Council 1985 Wheat Quality Research Summary Large- and Small-Scale Milling and Baking

1985 was the 36th year for the Large-Scale Milling and Baking Evaluation program. This program has helped the communication system between the persons responsible for breeding wheat and those who mill the grain and bake the flour into bread. This activity is sponsored by the Wheat Quality Council, which serves in a liaison and advisory role.

There were 8 cultivars tested in large-scale tests (23 bushels of wheat) and 9 cultivars tested in the small test (3 bushels of wheat). All samples were grown and submitted by the developing experiment stations to the Kansas State University Department of Grain Science and Industry for the grains' physical, milling and flour data.

The flours were sent to 34 cooperators for baking. These cooperators included cereal chemists from mills, bakeries, state, federal and commercial laboratories in the United States and Canada.

Preliminary data for wheat and flours performance were present in a tentative report at the cooperators meeting, February 6, 1986 in Wichita, Kansas. Each cultivar's milling and baking performance was evaluated for presentation in the 36th Annual Report - The 1985 Wheat Quality Council.

# Large-Scale

### Kansas

Four entries were evaluated. <u>Eagle</u> was used as the standard variety control. All had relatively good physical properties. Milling properties were good. The Eagle control was considered to be below historical values in baking performance. Experimental sample <u>KS831957</u> (Sumner), with flour protein two percent above the control, received an overall baking rating poorer than the control primarily because of open grain and slightly weak texture. Several cooperators felt that this variety, with its increased protein level, strong mixing requirements and good mixing tolerance, would be used as a blending wheat. Experimental sample <u>KS82H144</u> (Dodge) had slightly lower bake absorption than the control with very pliable dough, good machining properties, good loaf volume and close and uniform crumb grain. Experimental sample <u>KS82H4</u> (Norkan) showed overall bread baking quality similar to that of the control, except for loaf volume which was significantly lower.

# NAPB AgriPro

Four entries were evaluated. Newton was used as the standard variety control. All had relatively good physical properties. Milling properties were good. The Newton control was considered to be below historical values in baking performance with lower protein flour. The variety Stallion was comparable in all tests with the control. The variety Thunderbird was better than the control, having significantly higher bake absorption and loaf volume. The variety Victory had significantly higher bake absorption with significantly lower loaf volume, mixing time, grain, texture and overall baking quality than the control.

Three new varieties, Sumner, Dodge and Norkan, have been released by the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station to farmers for planting in the fall of 1986. They were submitted with the check variety Eagle.

Sumner

Sumner is an early, brown-chaffed, semi-dwarf hard red winter wheat with good yield potential, especially under stress conditions. Sumner's main contributions to new varietal development are its unusually high grain protein content compared to available varieties, favorable test weight and kernel size distribution patterns and its resistance to Spindle Streak Mosiac Virus. Generally, Sumner has performed better than Newton and equal to TAM 107. Its performance is good enough for the entire state; however, its strongest area of adaptation is in south central-southeastern Kansas because of its early maturity and good test weight patterns.

Summer has good resistance to Soil Borne Mosiac Virus and Spindle Streak Mosiac Virus, moderate resistance to leaf rust, is moderately susceptible to powdery mildew, Septoria, Cephalosporium Stripe and Hessian fly and is susceptible to Tan Spot and stem rust.

WQC - KS831957 - Sampled in 1984 & 1985 - 84-715 & 85-802

# Dodge

Dodge is a medium-early white chaffed, semi-dwarf hard red winter wheat with good yield potential for the southern two-thirds of western Kansas. Dodge is usually about 2 inches shorter than Newton, has coleoptile length equal to Eagle and is about 1 1/2 days earlier than Newton and has about the same level of winter hardiness.


Dodge has effective levels of resistance to leaf rust, stem rust, Septoria Leaf Blotch and Soil Borne Mosaic Virus. It is susceptible to Hessian fly. The protein content of Dodge has averaged .5 to 1.0 percentage points higher than Arkan and Eagle.

WQC - KS82H144 - Sampled in 1984 & 1985 - 84-718 & 85-803


#### Norkan


Norkan is a medium maturity white chaffed, semi-dwarf hard red winter wheat with good yield potential for the northern third of Kansas. Norkan carries resistance to Soil Borne Mosaic Virus, leaf rust, stem rust and Hessian fly. Norkan is slightly shorter than Newton has coleoptile length equal to Newton and is about one day later than Newton. The protein content of Norkan has averaged about one percentage point higher than Newton, which makes it comparable to Eagle and Arkan. WQC - KS82H4 - Sampled in 1984 & 1985 - 84-717 & 85-804

LOCATION HAYS	EAGLE	SUMNER	DODGE	NORKAN
Code No.				
code no.	85-715	85-716	85-717	85-718
Variety	Check	KS 831957	KS 82H144	KS 82H4
Wheat Data				
U.S. Bushel Weight (lbs.)	61.4	62.5	63.3	62.6
Hectoliter Weight (kg)	79.08	80.50	81.53	80.63
1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.B.)	32.90	32.55	39.12	33.23
Density (g/cc)	1.455	1.463	1.444	1.455
Pearling Value	65.5	58.0	53.5	62.5
Overs 7W (%)	67.3	56.9	86.8	68.9
9w (%)	32.1	43.0	13.0	30.6
12W (%)	.6	. 1	. 2	.5
Theoretical Yield (%)	76.34	75.84	77.33	76.42
Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)	11.77	14.97	12.54	13.25
Ash (%) (14% M.B.)	1.61	1.61	1.52	1.51
Straight Grade Flour Data				
Extraction %	70.55	67.10	71.90	71.40
Protein % (14% M.B.)	10.73	14.09	11.69	11.98
Ash % (14% M.B.)	.443	.417	.406	.445
Farinograph Data		ļ		
Arrival Time, Min.	1.5	6.0	3.0	2.0
Peak Time, Min	7.0	16.0	6.5	9.0
Stability, Min.	10.5	24	8.5	16.0
M.T.I.	30	30	40	20
Absorption, %	59.2	64.8	60.6	62.2
Valorimeter	67	92	66	76












LOCATION GARDEN CITY				
	EAGLE	SUMNER	DODGE	NORKAN
Code No.	85-719	85-720	85-721	85-722
Variety				
	Check	KS 831957	KS 82H144	' KS 82H4
Wheat Data				
U.S. Bushel Weight (lbs.)	57.8	60.0	59.8	57.9
Hectoliter Weight (kg)	74.45	77.28	77.02	74.58
1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.B.)	25.65	28.02	27.32	25.17
Density (g/cc)	1.456	1.446	1.446	1.443
Pearling Value	69.5	64.5	64.5	69.5
Overs 7W (%)	36.0	47.1	53.7	33.0
9w (%)	61.2	51.5	43.9	63.3
12W (%)	2.8	1.4	2.4	3.7
Theoretical Yield (%)	74.66	75.29	75.57	74.47
Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)	14.05	14.49	13.52	13.77
Ash (%) (14% M.B.)	1.71	1.62	1.63	1.70
				-
Straight Grade Flour Data				
Extraction %	69.80	68.70	70.43	70.29
Protein % (14% M.B.)	12.65	13.76	12.67	12.57
Ash % (14% M.B.)	- 504	.455	.426	.475
Farinograph Data				
Arrival Time, Min.	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.5
Peak Time, Min	14.0	14.5	7.5	6.5
Stability, Min.	29.0	26.5	16.0	28.0
M.T.I.	10	20	20	10
Absorption, %	61.6	63.4	61.2	61.0
Valorimeter	88	88	70	70











•				
LOCATION COLBY	EAGLE	SUMNER	880003 <b>DODGE</b>	4 NORKAN
	LACILL	001111111		
Code No.	85-723	854704	85-725	85-726
Variety		<b></b>		VC 00114
7 <b>41 13 4</b> 7	Check	.r. 831957	KS 82H144	KS 82H4
Wheat Data				
U.S. Bushel Weight (lbs.)	60.1	ń0.5	60.1	58.8
Hectoliter Weight (kg)	77.41	77.92	77.41	75.73
1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.	B.) 33.37	31.19	32.30	27.20
Density (g/cc)	1.434	1.431	1.426	1.441
Pearling Value	59.5	52.0	48.5	61.0
Overs 7W (%)	67.1	54.3	67.8	32.8
9w (%)	32.6	45.4	31.6	65.2
12W (%)	.3	. 3	.6	2.0
Theoretical Yield (%)	76.34	75.70	76.36	74.54
Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)	13.43	14.63	[4.24	13.59
Ash (%) (14% M.B.)	1.62	1.61	1.51	1.61
	1, 1	·		
Straight Grade Flour Data			72.25	70.40
Extraction %	70.5	100,70		12.64
Protein % (14% M.B.)	12.43	1,5 (0)	13.18	.444
Ash % (14% M.B.)	.464	.434	. 396	.444
e de la companya de l				
Farinograph Data			5.0	2.5
Arrival Time, Min.	3.0	4.5 ×	10.5	14.0
Peak Time, Min	10.5		17.0	32.5
Stability, Min.	19	16.5	40	5
M.T.I.	30	(1)		61.8
Absorption, %	63.0	£4.6	62.0	88
Valorimeter	80	78	80	00












Variety         85-727         85-728         85-729         85-730           Variety         Check         KS 831957         KS 82H144         KS 82H4           U.S. Bushel Weight (lbs.)         55.2         62.1         59.1         59.7           Hectoliter Weight (kg)         71.10         79.98         76.12         76.89           1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.B.)         23.47         32.04         27.99         26.13           Density (g/cc)         1.437         1.417         1.434         1.440           Pearling Value         70.0         55.0         58.5         66.0           Overs 7W (%)         16.5         57.9         43.2         22.7           9W (X)         80.6         41.9         55.1         74.7           12W (X)         2.9         .2         1.7         2.6           Theoretical Yield (%)         73.68         75.89         75.08         74.01           Protein (14% M.B.) & N x 5.7)         14.35         14.75         13.37         13.11           Ash (%) (14% M.B.)         13.31         14.30         12.60         12.10           Ash % (14% M.B.)         2.5         2.5         3.0         1.5           Farin	LOCATION HESSTON	EAGLE	SUMNER	DODGE	NORKAN
Wheat Data         Check         KS 831957         KS 82H144         KS 82H4           U.S. Bushel Weight (lbs.)         55.2         62.1         59.1         59.7           Hectoliter Weight (kg)         71.10         79.98         76.12         76.39           1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.B.)         23.47         32.04         27.99         26.13           Density (g/cc)         1.437         1.417         1.434         1.440           Pearling Value         70.0         55.0         58.5         66.0           Overs 7W (%)         16.5         57.9         43.2         22.7           9W (%)         80.6         41.9         55.1         74.7           12W (%)         2.9         .2         1.7         2.6           Theoretical Yield (%)         73.68         75.89         75.08         74.01           Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)         14.35         14.75         13.37         13.11           Ash (%) (14% M.B.)         16.3         1.52         1.64         1.82           Straight Grade Flour Data           Extraction %         68.40         67.90         69.70         70.80           Protein % (14% M.B.)         13.31         14.30 <th>Code No.</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th><u> </u></th> <th></th>	Code No.			<u> </u>	
Wheat Data         U.S. Bushel Weight (lbs.)         55.2         62.1         59.1         59.7           Hectoliter Weight (kg)         71.10         79.98         76.12         76.89           1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.B.)         23.47         32.04         27.99         26.13           Density (g/cc)         1.437         1.417         1.434         1.440           Pearling Value         70.0         55.0         58.5         66.0           Overs 7W (%)         16.5         57.9         43.2         22.7           9w (%)         80.6         41.9         55.1         74.7           12w (%)         2.9         2         1.7         2.6           Theoretical Yield (%)         73.68         75.89         75.08         74.01           Protein (14% M.B.) & N x 5.7)         14.35         14.75         13.37         13.11           Ash (%) (14% M.B.)         13.31         14.30         12.60         12.10           Ash % (14% M.B.)         13.31         14.30         12.60         12.10           Ash % (14% M.B.)         2.5         2.5         3.0         1.5           Protein % (14% M.B.)         2.5         2.5         3.0         1.5		85-727	85-728	85-729	85-730
Wheat Data	Variety	Chack	VE 021057	VC COLLIAA	KC COUA
U.S. Bushel Weight (lbs.)  Hectoliter Weight (kg)  1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.B.)  23.47  23.04  27.99  26.13  Density (g/cc)  1.437  1.417  1.434  1.440  Pearling Value  70.0  S5.0  S8.5  66.0  Overs 7W (%)  9W (%)  16.5  57.9  43.2  22.7  9W (%)  18.6.5  57.9  43.2  22.7  9W (%)  12W (%)  2.9  2.9  2.1  Theoretical Yield (%)  Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)  Ash (%) (14% M.B.)  Straight Grade Flour Data  Extraction %  Protein % (14% M.B.)  Straight Grade Flour Data  Extraction %  Protein % (14% M.B.)  Straight Grade Flour Data  Extraction %  Protein % (14% M.B.)  Ash % (14% M.B.)  Straight Grade Flour Data  Extraction %  Protein % (14% M.B.)  Ash % (14% M.B.)  Straight Grade Flour Data  Extraction %  Protein % (14% M.B.)  Ash % (14% M.B.)	Ulyane Date	Olleck	72 931321	K3 82H144	KS 82H4
Hectoliter Weight (kg) 71.10 79.98 76.12 76.89 1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.B.) 23.47 32.04 27.99 26.13 Density (g/cc) 1.437 1.417 1.434 1.440 Pearling Value 70.0 55.0 58.5 66.0 Overs 7W (%) 16.5 57.9 43.2 22.7 9W (%) 80.6 41.9 55.1 74.7 12W (%) 2.9 .2 1.7 2.6 Theoretical Yield (%) 73.68 75.89 75.08 74.01 Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7) 14.35 14.75 13.37 13.11 Ash (%) (14% M.B.) 1.63 1.52 1.64 1.82 Straight Grade Flour Data Extraction % Protein % (14% M.B.) 13.31 14.30 12.60 12.10 Ash % (14% M.B.) .493 .404 .403 .443 Farival Time, Min. 2.5 2.5 2.5 3.0 1.5 Farinograph Data Arrival Time, Min. 2.5 2.5 2.5 3.0 1.5 Farinograph Data Stability, Min. 22 26.5 12.0 28.5 M.T.I. Absorption, % 60.0 60.0 57.6 57.6 57.6 57.6					
1000 Kernel Weight (G) (14% M.B.)   23.47   32.04   27.99   26.13			The second secon		
Density (g/cc)         1.437         1.417         1.434         1.440           Pearling Value         70.0         55.0         58.5         66.0           Overs 7W (%)         16.5         57.9         43.2         22.7           9W (%)         80.6         41.9         55.1         74.7           12W (%)         2.9         .2         1.7         2.6           Theoretical Yield (%)         73.68         75.89         75.08         74.01           Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)         14.35         14.75         13.37         13.11           Ash (%) (14% M.B.)         1.63         1.52         1.64         1.82           Straight Grade Flour Data           Extraction %         68.40         67.90         69.70         70.80           Protein % (14% M.B.)         13.31         14.30         12.60         12.10           Ash % (14% M.B.)         .493         .404         .403         .443           Farinograph Data           Arrival Time, Min.         2.5         2.5         3.0         1.5           Peak Time, Min.         2.5         2.5         12.0         28.5           M.T.I.         20         20 <td>nectoliter Weight (kg)</td> <td></td> <td>79.98</td> <td>76.12</td> <td>76.89</td>	nectoliter Weight (kg)		79.98	76.12	76.89
Pearling Value         70.0         55.0         58.5         66.0           Overs 7W (%)         16.5         57.9         43.2         22.7           9W (%)         80.6         41.9         55.1         74.7           12W (%)         2.9         .2         1.7         2.6           Theoretical Yield (%)         73.68         75.89         75.08         74.01           Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)         14.35         14.75         13.37         13.11           Ash (%) (14% M.B.)         1.63         1.52         1.64         1.82           Straight Grade Flour Data           Extraction %         68.40         67.90         69.70         70.80           Protein % (14% M.B.)         13.31         14.30         12.60         12.10           Ash % (14% M.B.)         .493         .404         .403         .443           Farinograph Data           Arrival Time, Min.         2.5         2.5         3.0         1.5           Peak Time, Min.         2.5         2.5         3.0         1.5           M.T.I.         20         20         20         30           M.T.I.         20         20         20			32.04	27.99	26.13
Overs         7W (%)         16.5         57.9         43.2         22.7           9W (%)         80.6         41.9         55.1         74.7           12W (%)         2.9         .2         1.7         2.6           Theoretical Yield (%)         73.68         75.89         75.08         74.01           Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)         14.35         14.75         13.37         13.11           Ash (%) (14% M.B.)         1.63         1.52         1.64         1.82           Straight Grade Flour Data           Extraction %         68.40         67.90         69.70         70.80           Protein % (14% M.B.)         13.31         14.30         12.60         12.10           Ash % (14% M.B.)         .493         .404         .403         .443           Farinograph Data           Arrival Time, Min.         2.5         2.5         3.0         1.5           Peak Time, Min.         2.5         2.5         3.0         1.5           M.T.I.         20         20         20         30           M.T.I.         20         20         20         30           Absorption, %         20         20 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1.417</td><td>1.434</td><td>1.440</td></td<>			1.417	1.434	1.440
Overs     7W (%)     16.5     57.9     43.2     22.7       9W (%)     80.6     41.9     55.1     74.7       12W (%)     2.9     2     1.7     2.6       Theoretical Yield (%)     73.68     75.89     75.08     74.01       Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)     14.35     14.75     13.37     13.11       Ash (%) (14% M.B.)     1.63     1.52     1.64     1.82       Straight Grade Flour Data     8.40     67.90     69.70     70.80       Frotein % (14% M.B.)     13.31     14.30     12.60     12.10       Ash % (14% M.B.)     .493     .404     .403     .443       Farinograph Data       Arrival Time, Min.     2.5     2.5     3.0     1.5       Peak Time, Min.     2.5     2.5     3.0     1.5       M.T.I.     20     20     20     30       Absorption, %     60.0     63.0     57.6     57.6		70.0	55.0	58.5	66.0
9W (%)     80.6     41.9     55.1     74.7       12W (%)     2.9     .2     1.7     2.6       Theoretical Yield (%)     73.68     75.89     75.08     74.01       Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)     14.35     14.75     13.37     13.11       Ash (%) (14% M.B.)     1.63     1.52     1.64     1.82       Straight Grade Flour Data       Extraction %     68.40     67.90     69.70     70.80       Protein % (14% M.B.)     13.31     14.30     12.60     12.10       Ash % (14% M.B.)     .493     .404     .403     .443       Farinograph Data       Arrival Time, Min.     2.5     2.5     3.0     1.5       Peak Time, Min     7.5     14.0     6.0     7.0       Stability, Min.     22     26.5     12.0     28.5       M.T.I.     20     20     20     30       Absorption, %     60.0     63.0     57.6     57.6		16.5	57.9		22.7
Theoretical Yield (%) Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7) Ash (%) (14% M.B.)  Straight Grade Flour Data  Extraction % Protein % (14% M.B.)  Ash % (14% M.B.)  Ash % (14% M.B.)  Ash % (14% M.B.)  Farinograph Data  Arrival Time, Min. Peak Time, Min. Stability, Min.  Stability, Min.  Absorption, %  Protein % (20 20 20 30 30 157 6 57 6		80.6		55.1	
Theoretical Yield (%) Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7) Ash (%) (14% M.B.)  Straight Grade Flour Data  Extraction % Protein % (14% M.B.)  Ash % (14% M.B.)  Ash % (14% M.B.)  Farinograph Data  Arrival Time, Min. Peak Time, Min. Stability, Min.  Stability, Min.  Absorption, %  Formula Time, % In.  Parinograph Min. Peak Time, Min. Peak Time		2.9			
Protein (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)       14.35       14.75       13.37       13.11         Ash (%) (14% M.B.)       1.63       1.52       1.64       1.82         Straight Grade Flour Data         Extraction %       68.40       67.90       69.70       70.80         Protein % (14% M.B.)       13.31       14.30       12.60       12.10         Ash % (14% M.B.)       .493       .404       .403       .443         Farinograph Data         Arrival Time, Min.       2.5       2.5       3.0       1.5         Peak Time, Min       7.5       14.0       6.0       7.0         Stability, Min.       22       26.5       12.0       28.5         M.T.I.       20       20       20       30         Absorption, %       60.0       63.0       57.6       57.6		73.68	75.89	75.08	The same of the sa
Ash (%) (14% M.B.)       1.63       1.52       1.64       1.82         Straight Grade Flour Data         Extraction %       68.40       67.90       69.70       70.80         Protein % (14% M.B.)       13.31       14.30       12.60       12.10         Ash % (14% M.B.)       .493       .404       .403       .443         Farinograph Data         Arrival Time, Min.       2.5       2.5       3.0       1.5         Peak Time, Min.       7.5       14.0       6.0       7.0         Stability, Min.       22       26.5       12.0       28.5         M.T.I.       20       20       20       30         Absorption, %       60.0       63.0       57.6       57.6		14.35	14.75		
Straight Grade Flour Data         Extraction %       68.40       67.90       69.70       70.80         Protein % (14% M.B.)       13.31       14.30       12.60       12.10         Ash % (14% M.B.)       .493       .404       .403       .443         Farinograph Data         Arrival Time, Min.       2.5       2.5       3.0       1.5         Peak Time, Min       7.5       14.0       6.0       7.0         Stability, Min.       22       26.5       12.0       28.5         M.T.I.       20       20       20       30         Absorption, %       60.0       63.0       57.6       57.6	Ash (%) (14% M.B.)				the state of the s
Extraction % Protein % (14% M.B.) Ash % (14% M.B.)  Farinograph Data Arrival Time, Min. Stability, Min.  M.T.I. Absorption, %  68.40 67.90 69.70 70.80 13.31 14.30 12.60 12.10 .493 .404 .403 .403 .443 .443 .453 .404 .403 .403 .404 .403 .403 .404 .403 .403					
Extraction % 68.40 67.90 69.70 70.80 Protein % (14% M.B.) 13.31 14.30 12.60 12.10 Ash % (14% M.B.) .493 .404 .403 .443  Farinograph Data .7.5 2.5 3.0 1.5 Peak Time, Min. 7.5 14.0 6.0 7.0 Stability, Min. 22 26.5 12.0 28.5 M.T.I. 20 20 20 30 Absorption, % 60.0 63.0 57.6 57.6	Straight Grade Flour Data				
Protein % (14% M.B.)       13.31       14.30       12.60       12.10         Ash % (14% M.B.)       .493       .404       .403       .443         Farinograph Data         Arrival Time, Min.       2.5       2.5       3.0       1.5         Peak Time, Min.       7.5       14.0       6.0       7.0         Stability, Min.       22       26.5       12.0       28.5         M.T.I.       20       20       20       30         Absorption, %       60.0       63.0       57.6       57.6	Extraction %	68.40	67.90	69.70	70.80
Ash % (14% M.B.)			THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER.		
Arrival Time, Min.       2.5       2.5       3.0       1.5         Peak Time, Min.       7.5       14.0       6.0       7.0         Stability, Min.       22       26.5       12.0       28.5         M.T.I.       20       20       20       30         Absorption, %       60.0       63.0       57.6       57.6	Ash % (14% M.B.)				
Arrival Time, Min.       2.5       2.5       3.0       1.5         Peak Time, Min.       7.5       14.0       6.0       7.0         Stability, Min.       22       26.5       12.0       28.5         M.T.I.       20       20       20       30         Absorption, %       60.0       63.0       57.6       57.6					Auditoria
Peak Time, Min     7.5     14.0     6.0     7.0       Stability, Min.     22     26.5     12.0     28.5       M.T.I.     20     20     20     30       Absorption, %     60.0     63.0     57.6     57.6	Farinograph Data				
Peak Time, Min     7.5     14.0     6.0     7.0       Stability, Min.     22     26.5     12.0     28.5       M.T.I.     20     20     20     30       Absorption, %     60.0     63.0     57.6     57.6		2.5	2.5	3.0	1 5
Stability, Min.     22     26.5     12.0     28.5       M.T.I.     20     20     20     30       Absorption, %     60.0     63.0     57.6     57.6					
M.T.I.  Absorption, %  20 20 20 30 60 0 63 0 57 6 57 6	Stability, Min.				
Absorption, % 60.0 57.6 57.6	M.T.I.				
1 90.0 1 97.5 1 57.5	Absorption, %				
Valorimeter         72         88         64         70	Valorimeter				











KANSAS	EAGLE	SUMNEŔ	880003 <b>DODGE</b>	4 NORK
GROUP 1 Code No.			85-803	85-804
	85-801	85-802	05-605	03-00+
Wheat Data	60.2	62.1	61./	61.0
U.S. Bushel Weight (lbs.) Hectoliter Weight (kg)	77.54	79.98	79.47	78.57
1000 Kernel Weight (g) (14% M.B.)	29.85	31.48	30.56	27.33
Density (gm/cc)	1.406	1.422	1.431	1.42
			5.7	41 7
Overs 7W (%)	48.7 49.7	50.2 49.1	56.7 41.9	41.7 55.9
9W (%) 12W (%)	1.6	7	1.4	2.4
Theoretical Yield (%)	75.34	75.47	75.75	74.94
Sedimentation (14% M.B.) Zeleny	63.2	70.7	66.7	67.7
SDS	53	64	50	56
Protein (%) (14% M.B. & N x 5.7)	13.34	14.77	13.45	12.97
Ash (%) (14% M.B.)	1.64	1.63	1.63	1.63
Milling Data - Cal. Grades				
Straight Grade Extraction (%) Ash (% 14% M.B.)	78.85	75.96	76.70 .395	76.20
Protein (% - 14% M.B.)	.472 12.66	.416 14.25	12.72	12.58
Patent (%)	53.72	69.29	72.56	54.43
Ash (%) Protein (%)	.320 11.65	13.86	12.51	11.60
	11.00	15.00	12.02	
Remaining Clear (%)	22.13	6.67	4.14	21.77
Ash (%) Protein (%)	.841	1.418	1.704 16.45	.74 15.03
ri oce iii (x)	15.11	10.29	10.43	20:00
Millfeed (%)	24.15	24.04	23.30	23.80
Straight Grade Flour Data		a feet of the second se		
Protein (%) (14% M.B.)	12.31	14.47	13.19	12.37
Ash (%) (14% M.B.)	.473	.439	.410	. 42
Glutomatic (wet)	31.70	36.98	35.61	33.40
Glutomatic (dry)	13.30	15.44	14.11	12.60
Agtron Color (green)	57	62	66	64_
Starch Damage (Modified AACC)	7.00	6.23	5.61	6.34
Falling Number (Sec.) Untreated	662	652	509	660
	<del></del>			
Average Micron Size Fisher S.S.S.	19.73	16.97	18.47	19.43
M.S.A. Sedimentation	54	58	65	63
% Between 17 & 35 Microns	19.0	16.5	17.0	16.5
		:	{	(.





	DODGE SE COS	NORKAN	0000074
	85-803	85 <b>-</b> 804	8800034
85-803	88	-804	<u>                                     </u>
FARINOGRAM Arrival Time, min.	2.0	2.0	
Peak Time, min. MTI	6.5 30	7.5 20	
Valorimeter Absorption, %	66 58.4	70 59.2	
Stability, min.	12.5	19.0	
85-803	85-804		
Hard Marketine			
Milliam	Last Million Labor		
MIXOGRAM Point of Minimum	1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Mobility			
Peak Time, min.	4	5	
is When you will be a state of the same that	A Section with model and array and and	·	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
The state of the s		Intelligent in the	phonon de la company de la com
85-803	85-804		•
RUEGODAN		•	
RHEOGRAM Absorption, % Fatigue Time, min.	62.0	62.0 42.9	
		TL • J	
Time:	-603	<b>85-804</b> /	
45 min. (lower) 135 min. (upper)	1		
			<u></u>
EXTENSIGRAM Data: (135 min. curve)			
Area - cm <sup>2</sup> Resis 5 cm B.U.	147.1 320.0	210.92 420.0	.
Resis. Peak B.U. Extensibility cm	560.0	0.008	
	19.0	20.0	
85-803	T 85-	804	<del>-</del>
ALVEOGRAM Resis. x 1.6 mm Alveo. Area cm <sup>2</sup>	70.4 28.25	82.0 37.64	
Extensibility, mm W, x 103 ERGS	95.0	110.0	
n• Λ 1/(* C/(U.)	268.94	358 <b>.3</b>	

## BAKING SUMMARY

CODE NO.	HIST. CHECK	CHECK <b>85-801</b>	SUMNER 85-802	85-803	85-80
average	3.9 3.2 1	12.31	14.47	13.19	12.3
		П			
BAKE ABSORPTION very high	5 4 3 3.8	62.78	## 64.46	## 61.96	62.5
average minimal	3 2 1	020			
LOAF VOLUME	5		##		
average	4.0	2213.6	2283.2	2216.7	2160
minimal ,	1				
		II .	##	#	
DOUGH CHARACTERISTICS bucky-tough	5 4.2	3.60	3.98	3.23	3.5
strong elastic medium pliable mellow-very pliable weak, short-sticky	4 3 2 1				
BAKE MIXING TIME				#	2.6
very long	5 <b>4.2</b>	3.56	3.94	3.35	3.6
long medium short very short	3 2 1				
MIXING TOLERANCE		0.04	0.00	** 3.38	3.7
excellent tolerance	5 <b>4.2</b>	3.84	3.88	3.30	Q.1
average tolerance poor tolerance very poor tolerance	3 2 1				
			1 1222		

<sup># 0.05</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT THAN THE CONTROL

<sup>## 0.01</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT THAN THE CONTROL

#### BAKING SUMMARY

CODE NO.	HIST. CHECK	CHECK 85-801	SUMNER 85-802	DODGE 85-803	NORKAN 85-804
CRUMB COLOR white creamy-white creamy slightly dull dull grey	5 4 3 2 1	3.56	## 3.14	3.58	3.14
GRAIN v. close, v. uniform close, uniform slightly open open v. open, uneven	3.8 3 2 1	3.38	## 2.94	3.46	3.40
TEXTURE silky sl. silky sl. silky-harsh harsh very harsh	5 3.9 4 3 2 1	3.72	3.44	3.64	3.62
OVERALL BAKING QUALITY excellent good med. quality poor very poor	5 <b>3.9</b> 4 3 2 1	3.67	3.46	3.62	3.54

#### # 0.05 SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT THAN THE CONTROL

### ## 0.01 SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT THAN THE CONTROL

NOTE: The historical check values are the averages of the responses of six cooperators based on what they felt the normal characteristics of a particular check variety were. These values are to be used as an index to judge the check for the current year's test.

Flour protein for the check and experimental varieties are actual values. Baked absorptions and loaf volumes are the means of data received from the cooperators that responded.

Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of Applicant Ownership

The variety for which Plant Variety Protection is hereby sought was developed by the late Dr. R. W. Livers and Dr. T. J. Martin, employees of Kansas State University Experiment Station. By agreement between the employees and Kansas State University Experiment Station, all rights to any invention, discovery, or development made by the employee while employed by Kansas State University Experiment Station, were assigned by Kansas State University Experiment Station with no rights of any kind retained by the employees.



Agricultural Marketing Service Livestock and Seed Division

Plant Variety Protection Office National Agricultural Library Building, Rm. 500 Beltsville, MD. 20705

PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE

Gentlemen:

Subject: Application No. 8800034

Variety and Kind: Dodge Wheat

As provided in section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act, 7 U.S.C. 2321, we request that the Certificate on the above variety be issued with a notation on the Certificate that the right to exclude others from selling, offering for sale, reproducing, importing or exporting the variety covered by this Certificate, or using it in producing a hybrid or different variety is <u>waived</u>, except that this waiver shall not apply to breeders seed, foundation seed, labeling requirements, and blending limitations.

It has been agreed that the Certificate should be issued in the name(s) of:

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Kansas State University,

Waters Hall, Manhattan, KS 66506

9/6/88 (Date)

(Signature

Associate Director Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station



The Agricultural Marketing Service is an agency of the United States Department of Agriculture